Author Attributions in English Literature – 1730-70

Attribution of anonymous mid-eighteenth century English literature is largely unresearched. This paper discusses progress on attribution of anonymous works authored by Tobias George Smollett (1721-71). The paper traces back to 1737, Smollett’s involvement in the 1751 Paper War; to reveal errors in previous accounts of his early career. The research shows Smollett contributed to London magazines from 1732, and moved to London in 1737; to replace Jacob Ilive as literary editor for Edward Cave, at The Gentleman’s Magazine. Analysis of Smollett’s contributions to The Gentleman’s Magazine, London Magazine, and Scots Magazine enabled development of a notional template for his anonymous works. This was compared against anonymous works published in 1730-70; firstly those titles bearing spurious imprints, a category normally avoided in book-trade research. In contrast this paper sees them as an opportunity, based upon a proposition that works sharing a spurious imprint, such as W. Webb, are likely by a single author. The proposition was validated by detailed research, with the Smollett notional template enabling attribution to Smollett of 180 titles bearing W. Webb spurious imprints. This action reinforced the template’s validity, further reinforced by successful application to many other spurious imprints, and it is gradually being applied to genuine imprints.

Introduction

Tobias Smollett

It seems axiomatic that, if a proven method of making author attributions had existed, many of the thousands of anonymous or pseudonymous (a/p) works published in 1730-70 would be now attributed. In 1740, London was a compact city of under 700,000 people, many illiterate, and with a tight literary clique, all aware and envious of each other’s works.Attributions have been made to a handful of names, such as Alexander Pope, Jonathan Swift, and Daniel Defoe; but they were largely off the scene in 1730-70. A similar depth of attention has been given to Henry Fielding, but he was a magistrate in the decade prior to his 1754 death, and works attributed to him are a drop in the a/p ocean for 1730-70. So who was writing and publishing a/p works in 1730-70? The works of Colley Cibber, Henry Fielding, Sarah Fielding, Eliza Haywood, Sir John Hill, Samuel Richardson, Samuel Johnson,
Richard Savage, Christopher Smart, William Kenrick, and Oliver Goldsmith are largely identified. So who else could account for any of the thousands of a/p works? This paper discusses Tobias George Smollett (1721-71), who wrote many, many, a/p works; as poet, journalist, novelist, satirist, and as a commentator on matters, naval, political, religious, biographical, criminal, theatrical, and social.

The paper reveals it as no coincidence the a/p era of 1730-70 parallels the life of Smollett. A puzzle is rather, why has literary research paid so little attention to his life? Smollett followed Daniel Defoe, being determined to conceal his authorship: thereby misleading Lewis Mansfield Knapp in his biography of Smollett. If he is mentioned at all, books discussing 1730-70 normally take only passing note of Smollett, and those which reference his life perpetuate misleading claims: this extract from Wikipedia includes ten significant errors;

Smollett ... was educated at the University of Glasgow, where he qualified as a surgeon. Some biographers assert that he then proceeded to the University of Edinburgh, but left without earning a degree. His career in medicine came second to his literary ambitions; in 1739 he went to London to seek fortune as a dramatist. Unsuccessful, he obtained a commission as a naval surgeon on HMS Chichester and travelled to Jamaica, where he settled down for several years. In 1742 he served as a surgeon during the disastrous campaign to capture Cartagena. On his return to Britain, Smollett established a practice in Downing Street and married a wealthy Jamaican heiress, Anne "Nancy" Lascelles (1721–91), in 1747. ...

Smollett's first published work was a poem about the Battle of Culloden entitled The Tears of Scotland, but it was The Adventures of Roderick Random which made his name... Roderick Random was modelled on Le Sage's Gil Blas and published in 1748. After that, Smollett finally had his tragedy The Regicide published, although it was never performed. In 1750, Smollett was granted his MD degree at the University of Aberdeen. He also travelled to France, where he obtained material for his second novel, The Adventures of Peregrine Pickle, another success. Having lived for a brief time in Bath, he returned to London and published The Adventures of Ferdinand Count Fathom in 1753. He was now recognised as a major author....

When research seeks confirmation of Smollett's career, some of the

above details become illusory; as they draw on material recorded long
after his death; and perpetuate inaccuracies. In contrast, a decade of
research into Smollett from contemporary eighteenth-century sources,
reveals circumstantial, but convincing, evidence of his life and works; as
made available in open access research notes at www.tobiasmollett.
blogspot.com.2

This paper summarises key elements of that research; progressed in
the manner of an archaeological excavation: commencing with the 1751
Paper War, and working backwards from Smollett’s satiric attacks, in
Peregrine Pickle, and Habbakkuk Hilding, on William Hogarth, George
Lyttelton, and Henry Fielding.

William Hogarth and Smollett

A methodical, detailed, and logical essay discussing William
Hogarth’s Four Stages of Cruelty (1751) confirms Ronald Paulson’s view
Smollett is caricatured in Paul Before Felix Burlesqued.3 The paper makes
attributions to Smollett, and confirms a deep enmity between Smollett
and Fielding. It raises several valid questions: What, and when, had
caused such deep antipathy to Fielding? A parallel question asks, what
and when caused Smollett’s antagonism towards Hogarth, wherein
Smollett ridicules Hogarth as the artist Pallet in Peregrine Pickle? A third
question being, why did Smollett mock George Lyttelton in Peregrine
Pickle?

2. D. Shelton, The Lost Works of Tobias Smollett and the War of the Satirists ...
3. D. Shelton, A Satire not a Sermon, Four Stages of Cruelty ... (ATINER, 2020, doi.
org/10.30958/ajhis.6-3-3)
The answers to those questions, and a reassessment of Smollett's career, were markedly aided by a serendipitous realisation of Smollett's depiction by Hogarth in several prints from 1741-51. In recognising Smollett, the reasons for his depiction were investigated. In each case, countless iterations were required, but it gradually emerged that several Hogarth series, hitherto accepted as *Sermons* for the uneducated, were actually cryptic *Satires* for the amusement of Hogarth's educated friends.
An answer for Smollett’s attack on Lyttelton is explained in a paper revealing reasons for Smollett’s depiction in Hogarth’s 1748 *Satire, The Gate of Calais* (Figure 1). It was apparent antagonism towards Fielding and Hogarth went even further back. Analysis of Hogarth’s 1745 *Marriage A-la-Mode* series revealed another Hogarth cryptic *Satire*; reasons for the antagonism, and further depictions of Smollett. Research continued via analysis of Hogarth’s 1741 *The Enraged Musician*, as yet another unrecognised Hogarth *Satire*, and with a further portrait of Smollett (Figure 2). Digging even deeper, bed-rock for the Smollett v. Fielding antipathy emerged in 1737, with the Golden Rump fracas bringing an abrupt end to Fielding’s career as a playwright.

The Hogarth depictions demonstrate Smollett was prominent in the London literary scene by 1741, even though he wrote anonymously: and the Golden Rump took the origin back to 1737. It was evident Knapp’s biography of Smollett, claiming he had left Glasgow in late 1739, was in conflict with Smollett’s interactions with Hogarth and Fielding. A critical and detailed review of Knapp’s 1739 claim was needed, and followed, with the resultant analysis supporting a view of Smollett’s recruitment by Edward Cave to replace Jacob Ilive, the previous literary editor of *The Gentleman’s Magazine*; and Smollett’s arrival in London by early 1737.

Contributions and Pseudonyms in *The Gentleman’s Magazine* and Elsewhere

Critical reassessment of Knapp’s biography revealed Smollett as a contributor to contemporary periodicals, especially *The Gentleman’s Magazine, London Magazine*, and *Scots Magazine*. There are several studies of *The Gentleman’s Magazine*, but their shared characteristic, is a presumption each different pseudonym in the magazine represents a

7. D. Shelton, Smollett and The Golden Rump
8. D. Shelton, Smollett - Arrival in London in 1737 and Finances; Smollett - Writing for Periodicals - 1733-40
different author. That assumption of widespread authorship is inconsistent with contemporary norms; periodicals, such as Fielding’s The Champion, having only one or two authors.

Realisation of Smollett’s role as literary editor, led to a search for his own contributions. The review focused on The Gentleman’s Magazine, then extended to London Magazine and Scots Magazine. Over 25,000 pages from those periodicals are summarised as open access research notes, and support attribution to Smollett of hundreds of published contributions. To date the notes cover, The Gentleman’s Magazine, 1735-51; London Magazine, 1735-50, and Scots Magazine, 1739-49. That review, the quantity of contributions analysed, and the open-access notes, represent a notional template for Smollett material, and it became easier and easier to recognise his stylistic variations, even across differing and disparate themes and content. In this process it was apparent many related pieces were by a single hand, despite appearing over varying pseudonyms.

A feature of Smollett’s 1733-50 contributions in The Gentleman’s Magazine, the London Magazine, Scots Magazine and elsewhere, is the use of countless different pseudonyms; intended to puff the magazines, by conveying an impression of vigorous debate among many discrete authors. That variety is an impenetrable barrier for any research adopting a stance of each different pseudonym concealing a different author.

Thus, rather than focus on pseudonyms, this project sought and determined patterns of attribution to Smollett, via a notional template of style, theme, content, and language in published contributions. Confidence in those attributions was then aided by observing repeating and parallel patterns within his pseudonyms; including:

1. Pseudonyms based on his own T.G.S. initials, i.e. T.S., G.S., S.T., or

---


2. Pseudonyms beginning Phil______, e.g. Philodemos and Philagathus.

3. Many Latin names ending _____us, or ___iensis, usually used only once.

4. Names of deceased persons, e.g. Algernon Sidney, R. Freeman, and Andrew Horne.

5. Real names, used generically to hint at real people, e.g. Mr Cibber, Mr Whitehead, and Mr Pope.

6. Creation of deliberately misleading identities, e.g. Edmund Burt and Dougal Graham.  

7. Pseudonyms using arbitrary initials, e.g. W.C. or A.B.

8. Pseudonyms implying an occupation or characteristic, e.g. Frank Firelock, or Witless Wary.

9. Patterns of location, e.g. a wide scatter of small Scottish towns in Scots Magazine.

The numerous Smollett contributions, and associated patterns of pseudonym, support him as a prolific author and literary editor; writing prose and poetry for monthly magazines, with ability to puff therein his published works, and able to include, as reprints, his contributions to other periodicals. Proof of Smollett’s elevated literary status in 1741 being confirmed by his contemporary depiction in Hogarth’s The Enraged Musician.

Smollett’s Early Career Restated

The above comments and the open-access notes support a revision of Smollett’s early career. At Dunbarton school, the noted controversialist, John Love, taught him the classics, also Greek, Latin, divinity, logic, and mathematics. Smollett's mother was a widow, and so he had little access to money. Whilst still at school he submitted poems for publication, hoping to receive acknowledgement and encouragement, if not payment. Whether he received any payment is uncertain, but more


likely he was rewarded by seeing his poems in print.

Figure 1. A begging Epistle

The earliest poem attributed to him, is written as aabbcddd in an immature hand, and published in London Magazine, September, 1732, A begging Epistle in Rhime from a poor Poet.\(^\text{14}\) The poem also appeared in The Gentleman’s Magazine, October, 1732, as A Poor Poet’s Begging Epistle (Figure 3). Stylistic reasons for the attribution are discussed in detail elsewhere, but he conveys “Without any hope of an Easter, I’ve sent to my betters, circular letters”; with a clue in the line, “A smelt, a george, or a teaster”, which puns, and reverses, his Tobias George Smollett initials. Another draws from his work for an apothecary, a mention of “Ra. Argill”.\(^\text{15}\) Smollett often left similar subtle clues to his literary presence, which aid in attributions.


\(^{15}\) D. Shelton, Smollett - Pseudonyms & Literary Collections
In 1733, from age 12, Smollett studied Divinity at Glasgow University, but was rusticated after two years study. The explanation emerges in *The Gentleman’s Magazine* for 1736.\(^\text{16}\) A letter he had written to *The Old Whig* is reprinted, and clearly autobiographical. It conveys

the Smollett family intention: “my Parents design’d me for a Clergyman
..” but, "being one Day obstinately engaged in defending the wrong
Side of a Thesis, viz. That all Men are fallible, and that a Cassock may
cover Ignorance; to my great Mortification, I was soon after rusticated
for it”. Then, “I was still determined to enter into Holy Orders” and “I
read over a whole Library of Sytematical Writers.” However, “Since that
I have turned my thoughts to Physick. But alas! Now I see and lament
my Folly.” (Figures 4,5). He thus opted to pursue a literary career of
poetry, religious writing, and learning Hebrew, before widening his
polemic themes. The one pseudonym Smollett did thence use on
multiple occasions, as a polemic badge of religious defiance, was
Rusticus. Smollett was familiar with the term "rusticated", as two poets
he admired suffered the same penalty. John Milton (1609-74) was
rusticated from Christ’s College, Cambridge in 1626 for quarrelling with
his tutor and John Dryden (1631–1700), was rusticated from Trinity
College, Cambridge for exchanging insults with his college vice-master.

Whilst studying Smollett had assisted at an apothecary. He then
became an apprentice after he was rusticated; Knapp includes a poem
headed, “Tobie Smalet to Jo. Armstrong both prentice in ye Dyspensitory
Nov’r 24, 1735.”17 He then changed to a surgical apprenticeship in May
1736, with many anonymous pieces, especially poems, published in
London Magazine and The Gentleman’s Magazine. At the latter, Smollett
was a major contributor, developing a literary relationship with
publisher, Edward Cave. In 1736, Cave fired his literary editor, Jacob
Ilive, who wrote as Sylvanus Urban. This coincided with Smollett
seeking a position as a journalist. In January 1737, The Gentleman’s
Magazine, p. 28, reprinted a letter Smollett, as Simeon Oddity, had
addressed to Henry Stonecastle, editor of the Universal Spectator in late
1736. In part it reads;

Mr Stonecastle, I am of Mr D’anvers’s Opinion, that News-Writers (See
Vol. VI. p. 735) deserve Esteem from the Generality of Mankind; the
Dignities they confer, the Marriages they make and unmake, the Fortunes
they give, the Characters they bestow, the Lives or Deaths which are in
their Command, are evident Proofs of what Importance they are to the
Publick. I pay so much Regard to a Collector of Intelligence, that I have
long had an Ambition to enter myself into that Class, and have prepar’d
some Intelligences to open with, as follows Please to accept them as a

Specimen of my Capacity. Your indefatigable Brother of the Quill, Simeon Oddity.\textsuperscript{18}

The letter offers nine proposed specimens of Smollett's journalism. By early 1737 Smollett had resigned his surgical apprenticeship and was in London, to replace Ilive and assume the mantle of Sylvanus Urban. The importance of Smollett's editorial role is implied by Ilive who, on being fired in 1736, claimed he was, “the same hand who first rais’d; and for near five years compiled and conducted The Gentleman’s Magazine.”\textsuperscript{19}

Smollett wrote for periodicals such as Universal Spectator, Daily Gazetteer, and Common Sense, and published many a/p works; initially with T. Cooper, C. Corbett, and J. Roberts; but also via a range of spurious imprints. In 1739 Smollett initiated the founding of Scots Magazine. In October 1740 he sailed on HMS Chichester to Cartagena, returning on HMS Cruizer on 18 June 1741, when he resumed his editorial position at The Gentleman’s Magazine, where Samuel Johnson had covered for him on a temporary basis.\textsuperscript{20}

The majority of Smollett’s poems are in aabbccdd rhyme, with many reprinted in published collections. He also supplied satiric verses for political prints, and wrote numerous ballads set to popular tunes, often Derry Down, or The Abbott of Canterbury. Smollett sought to establish relationships with literary figures of the day but, if rebuffed or ignored, as he was by Pope, he retaliated with satiric attacks.\textsuperscript{21} Rebuffs also came from Fielding, Hogarth, Colley Cibber, and Samuel Johnson.

Many Smollett satires, poems, letters, essays, and pamphlets were published under pseudonyms involving his initials. Excluding real authors with T__ S__ initials, the analyses reveal a range of T_ S__ pseudonyms used by Smollet (or against him by Fielding). Almost all are satirical pieces, and include: Tim Shallow, Timothy Scribble (not Ashley Cowper), Tom Smirk, Thomas Stupidius, Tom Smart, Tom Sober, Thos. Sparrow, Tom Saltway, Tom a Stiles, Thomas Stiles, Thomas Styles, Thomas Simes, T. Stead, Tom Shorto, Timothy

\begin{enumerate}
\item D. Shelton, Smollett, Vol. 7, 1737, The Gentleman's Magazine - The Lost ...
\item D. Shelton, Smollett, Cartagena, and Admiral Vernon - 1740-43
\end{enumerate}
Soundbottom, Timothy Silence, Tom Skipton, T. Single, Tom Simple, Timothy Squeal, and T.S., also later instances of Tim Scrubb, and two Hogarth prints depicting Smollett wearing a Tam o’Shanter; *The Enraged Musician* and *The Gate of Calais.* Less common are S__ T__ pseudonyms, the best example attributable to Smollett being a series of letters on London theatre in *Scots Magazine* for 1739-40 signed S. Toupee.

The notional Smollett template revealed similar recurring patterns and combinations of style; chronology, imprint, content, language, word usage, theme, polemics, satire, and cross-links. His favoured themes varied over time, but re-emerged at intervals; he was supportive of Scotland and Ireland against England, but loyal to the Crown; he was pro-navy, anti-Jacobite, anti-French, and anti-papist, but chided the cost of European wars. He wrote seriously on religion, politics, medicine, and theatre, but also many social satires, some on heiress-hunting, and multiple satires of a prurient, salacious, or scatological nature. His many polemic works reveal an over use of terms such as “nay”, “in short”, or “in a word”. He drew on a “stable” of formats for prefaces, openings, dialogues, as with “a letter to ... from ...”. Other Smollett characteristics include many “gutted” names, multiple “pleas to publish”, and numerous contributions closing, “your constant reader”.

### Curll and Defoe as Models for Smollett

As evidence of Smollett’s literary style became more distinctive, it was seen in works published over both genuine and spurious imprints. Repeated themes, tone, and language increased confidence in the attributions, some following the style of Pope, Defoe, and Swift. Many were polemic in nature, Smollett avidly following Defoe; in arguing both sides of any argument via competing pamphlets, in seeking to create an impression of vigorous literary debate amid many authors; in order to excite public interest, and generate more sales.

Smollett styled his publishing on Defoe and Edmund Curll, in authoring, editing, and publishing a wide range of material. In 1737-45 Curll was semi-retired, but a burst of titles suggests he employed

---

22. D. Shelton, *Smollett - Pseudonyms & Literary Collections*
24. D. Shelton, *Smollett and Edmund Curll Imprints*
Smollett as an editorial assistant, thereby educating him in publishing ruses. Curll mainly used real booksellers, although sometimes "For the Author", or "Printed in the Year of Grace". While Defoe authored the works in his canon, that of Curll includes items written by others, and published under his umbrella. In contrast, Smollett concealed his identity behind layers of spurious imprints. He was self-opinionated, arrogant, and convinced of his superior intellect, writing with an implied challenge to his literary peers; "I am anonymous, but smarter than you, so catch me if you can". Strongly influencing Smollett's a/p preference and his spurious imprints, was a desire to avoid the fate Defoe had described for himself, in An Appeal to Honour and Justice:

This brings me again to that other oppression which, as I said, I suffer under, and which, I think, is of a kind that no man ever suffered under so much as myself; and this is to have every libel, every pamphlet, be it ever so foolish, so malicious, so unmannerly, or so dangerous, be laid at my door, and be called publicly by my name. It has been in vain for me to struggle with this injury; it has been in vain for me to protest, to declare solemnly, nay, if I would have sworn that I had no hand in such a book or paper, never saw it, never read it, and the like, it was the same thing. My name has been hackneyed about the street by the hawkers, and about the coffeehouses by the politicians, at such a rate as no patience could bear. One man will swear to the style; another to this or that expression; another to the way of printing; and all so positive that it is to no purpose to oppose it.

I published once, to stop this way of using me, that I would print nothing but what I set my name to, and held it for a year or two; but it was all one; I had the same treatment. I now have resolved for some time to write nothing at all, and yet I find it the same thing; two books lately published being called mine, for no other reason that I know of than that at the request of the printer, I revised two sheets of them at the press, and that they seemed to be written in favour of a certain person; which person, also, as I have been assured, had no hand in them, or any knowledge of them, till they were published in print. This is a flail which I have no fence against, but to complain of the injustice of it, and that is but the shortest way to be treated with more injustice.25

Smollett plagiarised Defoe's fear in Chapter II of Roderick Random, but his arrogance still shows through;

The contempt which my appearance naturally produced in all who saw me, the continual wants to which I was exposed, and my own haughty disposition, impatient of affronts, involved me in a thousand troublesome adventures, by which I was at length inured to adversity, and emboldened to undertakings far above my years. I was often inhumanly scourged for crimes I did not commit, because, having the character of a vagabond in the village, every piece of mischief whose author lay unknown was charged upon me, I have been found guilty of robbing orchards I never entered, of killing cats I never hurted, of stealing gingerbread I never touched, and of abusing old women I never saw. .... In short, whether I was guilty or unfortunate, the correction and sympathy of this arbitrary pedagogue were the same. Far from being subdued by this infernal usage, my indignation triumphed over that slavish awe which had hitherto enforced my obedience, and the more my years and knowledge increased, the more I perceived the injustice and barbarity of his behaviour. By the help of an uncommon genius, and the advice and direction of our usher, who had served my father in his travels, I made a surprizing progress in the classicks, writing, and arithmetic; so that before I was twelve years old, I was allowed by every body to be the best scholar in the school.26

The pressure of writing was echoed by Smollett, in a private letter to John Harvie of 10 December, 1759:

If I go on writing as I have proceeded for some years, my hand will be paralytic, and my brain dried to a snuff. I would not wish my greatest enemy a greater curse than the occupation of an author, in which capacity I have toiled myself into habitual asthma, and been baited like a bear by all the hounds of Grub-street. Some people have flourished by imputed wit; I have suffered by imputed dulness. I have been abused, reviled, and calumniated, for satires I never saw; I have been censured for absurdities of which I could not possibly be guilty.27

Smollett’s 1759 comment challenges conventional wisdom which, in 1759, claims his published works as three novels, a translation of Don Quixote, several short pamphlets, and the Critical Review. In conflict with his assertion, "If I go on writing as I have proceeded for some years, my hand will be paralytic, and my brain dried to a snuff." If his canon was as modest as is claimed, why was Smollett, "baited like a

bear by all the hounds of Grub-street", and, "abused, reviled, and calumniated, for satires I never saw"? A more logical view is supported by Smollett's depictions in Hogarth's prints of 1741-51: Smollett was far deeper in the London literary scene and authored more a/p works, than conventional wisdom has hitherto conceded. J. R. Moore proposed 546 works for Defoe, of which Furbank and Owens disputed 252. Paul Baines expects Curll may reach 1000 titles. Thus, for Smollett to be attributed with many a/p works in 1730-70 is distinctly possible.

The Lady's Curiosity as Example of Cross-linked Attribution

As an example of connected threads aiding attributions; the phrase "been baited like a bear" was plagiarised from Defoe's 1727 *Conjugal Lewdness*, by Smollett, in *The Lady's Curiosity*, by Nestor Druid, London, C. Sympsone, 1752, "Whether I have been a bear or no, I have been baited like a bear; that's true enough." Sympsone was a spurious imprint, and Nestor David a Smollett pseudonym; *The Lady's Curiosity* was a short-lived periodical, issues I-XX, with material therein attributable to Smollett; it being one of multiple Smollett attempts to launch his own magazine. He opens *The Lady's Curiosity* with reference to his preference for anonymity, and trails before readers a typical red-herring, pointing at Wales rather than his home of Scotland:

No sooner does an Author make an Offer of obliging the Publick with his Lucubrations, but his Person, Family, Principles, Capacity, Age, Profession, and a numberless Et-cætera, are immediately the Subjects of Enquiry. And, strange as it is! a Reader seldom peruses a Book with any Satisfaction, till he knows what Party the Writer is listed in. To gratify this natural Curiosity of Mankind, Nestor Druid declares, once for all, That he is arrived to that Age, when the Judgment of Things is usually pretty well settled; that tho' his Family is as antient as any in Wales [i.e. Scotland], yet he does not value himself upon that so much as on his long Experience and a general Knowledge of Mankind ..., as he is of no Profession, but that which he apprehends he was born to; namely, to be as serviceable to those of his own Species, as his best Abilities will enable him.29

More clues connect to Smollett. In discussing The Lady’s Curiosity, although without awareness of the connections, Iona Italia draws attention to themes repeated elsewhere by Smollett; the ancients, female readers, divinity, and Newton; in sermon-like polemics, learned during his divinity studies:

Nestor Druid, for example, recommends Homer to his women readers for ‘his piety ... and strict morality’ (Lady’s Curiosity 9), and includes a description of Newtonian physics in the form of ‘Sir Isaac Newtons’ Creed’ (Lady’s Curiosity 11).

The items cross-link to Smollett’s satiric interference in a 1734-41 mathematical controversy, concerning Sir Isaac Newton and fluxions, as discussed in open access research notes. The weaving of like threads, into a sturdy network, across numerous periodicals and publications, helps reinforce Smollett attributions.

Book-Trade Research

London book-trade research is aimed at compiling accurate lists of genuine publishers, printers, and booksellers. The process includes a focus on the attribution of title-page ornaments to printers; with the identities of authors, whether named or a/p of little significance, and spurious imprints largely irrelevant. That book-trade research aids in accurate lists of imprints for genuine publishers, e.g. T. Cooper, M. Cooper, and W. Owen. But, in contemplating author attributions, a complication is that lists for such publishers contain a mix of works by named and a/p authors. Thus the lists are of limited assistance as a basis for proposing a methodical and robust means of identifying individual a/p authors.

This was illustrated in a 2014 study by Patricia Gael, Poetry, Drama, and Fiction in the London Marketplace, 1737-1749. Whilst Gael’s paper is useful background to the publishing industry, it lacks any study of spurious imprints, nor does it discuss author attributions, Gael cautioning, “I do not provide new readings of major works - all of

31. D. Shelton, Smollett, Philalethes Cantabrigiensis, and Tar-water- 1734-46
32. I. Maxted, Exeter Working Papers in Book History: London 1735-1775
which already have benefited from critical attention - nor do I argue for the incorporation of new works into the canon.”

Thus, despite using the terms, fictitious/false/spurious, 30 times, and anon/anonymous, over 400 times, the paper avoids discussion or analysis of those subjects.

Listings of works extracted from ESTC require caution, as ESTC does not differentiate between genuine and spurious imprints, and it includes erroneous attributions. Gael remarks;

Tracking reprints through the ESTC with any precision is impossible. Entries might represent a new edition, but they might also represent the same edition, catalogued inconsistently from library to library, or textual variants of the same edition, or false editions marked by new title pages but sharing the same text and print run. Titles to works change, which makes searching for works in the catalogue difficult. Attributions are similarly inconsistent, even for the same title, so even in cases in which an author is known or commonly associated with a work it might not show up as part of his or her output.

To make progress in attributing a/p works, it was apparent a different source was needed. Although based on ESTC, a more user-friendly resource, and one freely available online, is Shakeosphere, as maintained by the University of Iowa. Shakeosphere was designed for node analysis. That is not relevant to this project, but Shaleosphere does provides extracts of imprint detail held within ESTC. However, Shakeosphere does require some caution, as files for several publishers, such as J. Roberts, are corrupted; this appearing to occur where the base file is adjusted to combine name variants for node analysis; resulting in J. Roberts titles being under-stated. However, there appears to be only a handful of publishers affected by this flaw.

Anonymous and Pseudonymous Works in 1730-70

Research into author attribution often seeks to use computer aided language analysis. But the method has difficulty with authors who

35. University of Iowa, Shakeosphere - The University of Iowa
write in markedly varying styles, on multiple themes, with changes over time, and differing types of literature, e.g. polemics, reviews, politics, prose, poems, plays, satire, and biography.

There are various ways of estimating the relevant a/p corpus in 1730-70. As one conservative estimation, Foxon’s *London Magazine 1732-66 Monthly Catalogues* lists some 20,000 works for 1732-66, about half of which appear a/p; around 10,000 titles, or say 300 per year. Such a/p titles can bear genuine or spurious imprints. London was a small city, so applying the Pareto 80/20 Principle to 10,000 a/p works, implies 8000 published a/p works were written by 20% of a/p authors: with the most prolific of that 20% as a bare handful of authors, and to date Smollett viewed as the only obvious a/p candidate. (During research, no other author has shown an indication of being a major contributor to the 10,000 a/p works, but suggestions are welcome.)

It was clear the notional Smollett template showed potential for a broader 1730-70 analysis, focused on separately published a/p works. The challenge was to determine how best to apply the template to an estimated a/p corpus of 10,000 works published in 1730-70; where the split is estimated as 8000 a/p works bearing genuine imprints, and the other 2000 a/p works bearing spurious imprints.

**Smollett and Spurious Imprints**

Titles with spurious imprints are not relevant in book-trade research, as they do not qualify for inclusion in lists of genuine printers, publishers, or booksellers. There are varying shades of genuine and spurious imprints but, for the purpose of this paper, a genuine imprint is defined as a work bearing a real publisher on the title-page, in essence matching lists prepared as part of book-trade research.

Spurious imprints are intended to conceal the identities of both author and publisher; via a spurious name, or no publisher, or published "for the author", or "sold by booksellers of ", or etc. It is judged unlikely that multiple independent a/p authors would unite under a common spurious imprint. It is therefore believed a list of a/p works sharing a spurious imprint, represents a focused target in considering author attributions; based upon a presumption, that works

sharing that imprint, were likely by a single a/p author. It is estimated more than 2000 a/p works in 1730-70 were published with spurious imprints, but such works do not qualify for inclusion in book-trade lists. Smollett made extensive use of spurious imprints, so this paper commences with spurious imprints, as a more focused target. The pool of 2000 works available for comparison against the Smollett template making it easier to determine attributions.

Seeking an even more focused target, the most obvious spurious imprint to consider was that of W. Webb, who is absent from Plomer’s Dictionary and from Maxted’s London book trade lists. W. Webb and its variants are noted as fictitious by D. Foxon, "Mrs Lois Morrison has suggested this is a fictitious imprint, like A. Moore"; Foxon also notes; Mr Webb, A. Webb, J. Webb, and W. Webb, junior, adding of the last, "if W. Webb is a fictitious imprint, clearly this is also". For the purpose of this paper, the Webb spurious imprint and its related variants, are deemed a “clan”, and thus become a Webb clan.

Net of reprints, there were about 180 Webb works in 1737-65; poetry, ballads, politics, polemics, satire, and other prose. The mix was thus an excellent sample to test the theory that works with a Webb imprint were authored by Smollett. A focus on the how, what, which, when, where, and why, of each Webb work, should then aid in confirming the template; for style, content, language, theme, polemics, and cross-linked works.

Review of each of the 180 works with a Webb imprint, was found to match the notional Smollett template, and gave increased confidence of its validity in making attributions. It was even possible to deduce that occasional Webb imprints on reprints of seventeenth century titles, were as Smollett sponsored reprints. Many Webb titles involve British and European politics. Smollett’s distant cousin, Sir Andrew Mitchell was under-secretary for Scotland in 1742-46; in 1747-54, MP for Aberdeenshire and 1755-71 MP for Elgin Burghs. He negotiated with Austria and the Netherlands in 1752-55 and was envoy to Prussia in 1756-65. Hence Smollett wrote on politics in Scotland, England, and Europe. The process resulted in a more robust template, and attributed Smollett as
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author of the works within the Webb clan.40

Figure 4. Historical View

A key Webb pamphlet of 1740 is, *An Historical View of the Principles, Characters, Persons, &c. of the Political Writers in Great Britain,* which Smollett signed as Marforio, and claimed to have translated from the French.41 The work purports to be a report on political writers in Britain (Figure 6). Much is accurate, but Smollett slips in deliberately misleading red-herrings. One relating to Fielding was intended to antagonise him, with the references to William King, William Guthrie, and Raphael/Ralph Courteville each intended to lay false trails away from material actually authored by Smollett.42

The Smollett template was next stress-tested against works bearing other spurious imprints. The H. Carpenter imprint and its variants comprise about 80 a/p works, with Foxon noting of the T. Carpenter

40. D. Shelton, Smollett and W. Webb, Spurious Imprints


42. D. Shelton, Smollett, Lilliput Debates, and An Historical View – 1740
variant, “possibly pseudonymous”\textsuperscript{43}. The notional template continued as valid for Carpenter works, and became even more robust after application against other spurious imprints; such as J. Freeman. Plomer’s Dictionary notes of H. Carpenter, “the name has not been found in any book of importance”, and he remarks of J. Freeman; “Probably a fictitious imprint.” Another spurious clan tested against the template was J. Warcus.\textsuperscript{44}
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\caption{Law and Arguments}
\end{figure}

The Smollett template was also tested against imprints with one or two titles, e.g. Law and arguments in vindication of the university of Oxford ... printed for Old King Alfred, by Lincoln’s-Inn-Fields, London, [1749] (Figure 7). Sometimes attributed to John Henley, but the imprint is spurious, and the style typical of Smollett’s anti-Jacobite polemics.\textsuperscript{45}

\textsuperscript{43} D. Shelton, Smollett and H. Carpenter, Spurious Imprints; Smollett and J Freeman Spurious Imprints

\textsuperscript{44} D. Shelton, Smollett and J Warcus, Spurious Imprints

\textsuperscript{45} T. Smollett, Law and arguments in vindication of the university of Oxford ...
Another was, *Some proceedings in the last Parliament, impartially considered; in a dialogue between Sir Briton Old Mode, and Sir Townly Careless*, London, sold at all the pamphlet-shops of the Royal-Exchange, Temple-Bar and Charing-Cross, [1747] (Figure 8). The style proved to fit the Smollett template, and he did publish several other similar satiric dialogues.

**Figure 6. Some Proceedings**

Smollett and "jun" Imprints

In April 1747 Cave was examined by the House of Commons, with a later account of the hearing reporting:

Cave was then asked how long he had been the publisher of *The Gentleman’s Magazine*: he said that "it was about sixteen years since it was first published; that he was concerned in it at first with his nephew, and since the death of his nephew he had done it entirely himself." This nephew; as I have already remarked, had existed only in imagination, and he was still as much alive as ever, as the name of "E. Cave, jun." was

(London: Old King Alfred, [1749]); *Some proceedings in the last Parliament, impartially considered* … (London: all the pamphlet-shops, [1747])
continued on the title-page throughout 1747 and down to 1752.46

In fact the first reference to “E. Cave, jun” was long before 1747: the imprint for *The Gentleman’s Magazine* shows “Printed by E. Cave” in May 1738, but the imprint for June 1738 changes to “Printed by E. Cave, jun” (Figures 9, 10). The circumstances imply the nephew who “existed only in imagination” was Smollett, who used his position to add “jun” to the imprint from June, 1738; in pretending he was Cave’s nephew, and to add authority to his literary editor role, which he continued to occupy “throughout 1747 and down to 1752”. In January 1747, the name “R. Baldwin, jun” replaced T. Astley as publisher of *London Magazine*. The addition of “jun” to R. Baldwin at *London Magazine* was likely also Smollett’s choice, one more puff for his ego, as literary editor at both *The Gentleman’s Magazine* and *London Magazine*.

It was rare for "jun" to appear on genuine imprints, but this seemed inspiration for Smollett to add "jun." to several spurious imprints in c.1747-49, which thus aid in his attributions. A significant example of "jun" is a two line Latin poem in *The Gentleman’s Magazine*, 1749, p.38, signed "Pamela B, jun". The Latin [and a rough translation] is: Scire hominum mores varins, bene scribere, si vis: Perlege Clarissam; mente feuare tuä. Pamela B jun. [Know the manners of men of divers colours, if you want to write well: Read Clarissa; to enlighten your mind.] The choice of “Pamela B, jun” aids a view of this researcher, that *Remarks on Clarissa*, usually attributed to Sarah Fielding, was instead written by Smollett.47


---

48. T. Smollett, *Satirical and panegyrical instructions to Mr. William Hogarth, painter, on Admiral Vernon’s taking Porto Bello with six ships of war only. By A. Marvell, junior,

Figure 9. A. Marvell, Junior

(London: Goreham, 1740); A Letter to the Right Honourable the E---l of T---q---r, (London: R. Freeman, jun, 1746).

49. T. Smollett, A new system of rural politicks; or, the crafty farmer’s falsehood fitted: being a fresh and curious method to make a Lord-Mayor: ... By Swift, junior, (London: G. Griffith, 1747). A tale of two tubs or, the B------rs in Querpo .. (London: A Price, jun, 1749); A Poetical Epistle to Miss C—h—y ... (London: Tom Andrew, Jun, 1749).
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Smollett and Spurious Locations

Two examples of spurious locations used by Smollett show neither an author, nor a publisher. In 1749 he wrote a play alluding to his courtship of Anne Lassells; never performed, but among new books in *The Gentleman’s Magazine* for September/October, 1749. The play was written in response to Lyttleton’s rejection of *The Regicide*; and advice he should instead write a comedy. Smollett wrote to Carlyle on 7 June 1748: “I will also impart another piece of News … after having perused the Revenge I have taken on the Playhouse Managers in *Roderick Random*. In short, I have planned a comedy which will be finished by next winter.” The play was, *The Conspirators, a Tragi-Comic Opera, as it was Acted in England and Ireland [i.e. Scotland] Without Applause*, Carrickfergus, no author, 1749. (Figure 13). The play includes a person named Roderick Random, and Smollett as Dick Prettyman: a separate paper discusses the background. The choice of Carrickfergus being

---

where William of Orange landed in 1690 on his way to defeat the Irish Jacobites.

Figure 11. Carrickfergus

A second example of a Smollett spurious location is the Isle-of-Man, used for three related pamphlets, one being, The Hibernian Politicians; An Epistle from a Gentleman in the Country, to His Friend in Town. Isle-of-Man, 1740 (Figure 14). The Isle of Man was chosen as a spurious location by Smollett as a pun on the Isle of Wight, close to where he was aboard HMS Chichester, preparing to sail for the West Indies. The Hibernian series was written by Smollett onboard ship, and sent to London for publishing, as discussed separately.51

Genuine Imprints

With a robust template for Smollett works published with Webb, Carpenter, Freeman, and other spurious imprints, the next step was to apply the template to a/p works published over genuine imprints. The application of the Smollett template to genuine booksellers is ongoing, being applied to works published in 1730-70, with T. Cooper, M. Cooper, W. Owen, and others, and enabling further a/p attributions to Smollett.52

52. D. Shelton, Smollett and Thomas Cooper Imprints; Smollett and Thomas Cooper Imprints continued; Smollett and M. Cooper Imprints - I; Smollett and M. Cooper
The process acknowledged that Smollett, as an a/p author, likely had works in both categories, some published with a genuine imprint, and others with a Webb imprint. Occasional hints pointed towards T. Cooper and M. Cooper; for example an odd inconsistency, as where a New Book list recorded Cooper as publisher, but the actual imprint showed Webb, or vice versa. These errors indicated that Smollett, as literary editor for *The Gentleman’s Magazine*, was able to puff a title in advance of it being published, rather than after sighting the pamphlet. Although bearing Webb imprints, other clues revealed many works were printed and distributed for Smollett by T. Cooper and M. Cooper.

Webb works with a Pater-Noster-Row address were almost certainly published for Smollett by Thomas Cooper, whose works give Pater-Noster-Row as an address in 1733-43, and his widow Mary Cooper who used the same address, 1743-61.

T. Cooper and M. Cooper also published many works for Smollett over their own imprints. A pause for reflection, raised the question as to why would the Coopers agree to act for W. Webb? Hints implying genuine publishers accommodated Smollett, in printing and distributing his spurious imprints, merit comment. For a genuine publisher, to publish a spurious imprint represented a lost opportunity to advertise his business on the imprint. So why agree to print/distribute a spurious imprint? For several reasons; if the publisher saw risk of libel, or of a financial loss, and so declined to purchase the copyright, but did see a profit in printing and distribution. Or perhaps did not wish to risk tarnishing his name, or antagonising contemporaries, by publishing salacious works, or works attacking the government, or other public figures.

For Smollett as an a/p author, the ruse of multiple spurious imprints had benefits; to reduce a potential risk of libel; to anonymously attack rivals, other individuals, or politicians; and to publish salacious or pornographic works. Via a polemic mix of genuine imprints and spurious imprints, arguing from both sides of any theme as if a game of ping-pong, Smollett was able to project a false impression of vigorous polemic debate. Also, to appear fresh and interesting, and reduce a risk of becoming known as a boring, biased, and predictable author.

Instances occur of a Smollett first edition published over a genuine or spurious imprint; being noticed by a different publisher who saw

---

Imprints – II: Smollett and M. Cooper Imprints - III: Smollett and William Owen Imprints
opportunity for a second edition, and published it with a different genuine imprint. An unwelcome outcome of this is that, in some instances, the name of the subsequent publisher has become confabulated with that of the author. An example is, *The Oeconomy of Human Life* ... London: M. Cooper, 1751 (Figure 15). Later editions were published over Robert Dodsley’s imprint (Figure 16). The work is a moral treatise, written as if by an eastern philosopher, and supposedly obtained from the Grand Lama of Tibet. It appeared anonymously in 1751, and was attributed to Lord Chesterfield; which suited Dodsley as it aided sales. By the seventh edition Dodsley was regarded as the author, but it is believed Smollett was the actual author; he often mocked Chesterfield, frequently used the literary device of, “a letter from ... to …”, and for several works, claimed the discovery of a cache of old letters or documents.\(^\text{54}\)

![Figure 13. Oeconomy Cooper](image-url)

\(^{53}\) T. Smollett, *The Oeconomy of Human Life. Translated from an Indian Manuscript written by an Ancient Bramin. ... In a letter from an English Gentleman, now residing in China to the Earl of ***, (London: M. Cooper, 1751).

\(^{54}\) D. Shelton, Smollett and M. Cooper Imprints - II - continued
For separately published works, including proposed collections, Smollett pitched manuscripts to publishers; selling the copyright wherever he could. If a publisher, such as the Coopers, believed a work had potential, they purchased the copyright, and placed their name on the imprint. That provided cash for Smollett, and avoided risks of printing and distribution. Where a Smollett work was published over a spurious imprint, it is likely he had to pay part or all of the cost. He was extremely self-opinionated and, in modern terms, many of the a/p works Smollett published over spurious imprints would classify as vanity publishing.

He could have made money by retaining selected copyrights, but was a poor businessman. As a prolific publisher of spurious works, any money he received from genuine or spurious works, was less than his share of the costs incurred in publishing the works. Hence he was perpetually short of money, despite remittances from his wife's Jamaican estate, and they periodically sold a Jamaican slave to meet expenses. So short that even after his successes with *Roderick Random* and *Peregrine Pickle*, in c.1751-52 he wrote a begging letter to his friend and fellow Scot, the man-midwife, William Hunter;

I have been hedging and lurching these Six weeks in expectation of that cursed ship from Jamaica, which is at last arrived without Letter or Remittance. I do not know whether God will have it that you should
endure the Persecution of my wants without flinching, or that I should at length tire you out, and be shaken off at once, but, certain as it is, I am at present, in consequence of little Demands which I have put off to the last day of Procrastination, in absolute necessity of eight, or ten, pieces [guineas], without which I can no longer answer the Occasions of my Family. This Declaration is by God himself! like wormwood and Brimstone to me, and were myself alone concerned, I would rather perish than have Recourse to such beggarly Solicitation. For heaven's sake, do not look upon me as one of those Sneaking Rascals who can stoop to subsist upon what they can borrow without shame, Remorse, or purpose of Repayment. I am an unfortunate Dog whose Pride Providence thinks proper to punish wit the Tortures of incessant Mortification; and I resent my Lot accordingly. But in the midst of those miserable Littlenesses to which my Destiny drives me, I am still with a due Sense of the Predicament in which I stand.55

Progress with Attributions

The analyses of spurious imprints are extensive, with many displaying Smollett's hand, as already do significant numbers of works with genuine imprints. The combined analysis has, to date, enabled attribution of hundreds of titles to Smollett, perhaps with potential to approach a combined published corpus of around 2000 works, plus his contributions to daily, weekly, and monthly periodicals. The summaries of Smollett's contributions to The Gentleman's Magazine, London Magazine, and Scots Magazine, together with notes supporting the analyses of individual works are all accessible as open-access research notes, and so available for critical review. At varying stages Smollett had assistants, who may have authored works published under his supervisory umbrella, but the Smollett style is so distinctive, they stand as part of his corpus.

London was a compact city in the middle eighteenth century, and there were few recognised authors. The titles of the many works published in 1730-70 by authors such as Pope, Swift, Defoe, Fielding, Richardson, Cibber, Haywood, Hill, Goldsmith, etc. are generally accepted as part of their respective canons. But for 1730-70 that leaves an estimate of 10,000 a/p works, comprising 8000 a/p works with

genuine imprints, and 2000 a/p works with spurious imprints.

Smollett's active period was nearly 40 years, with many works in close succession. Excluding contributions in periodicals, they range from single sheets to several hundred pages. For the purpose of illustration an average is assumed as in the range of 24-30 pages, excluding novels.

An estimate from the works so far attributed in this project, suggests he published three to four pamphlets per month, more in 1740-60, and less outside that era. On 7 June 1748 he wrote of *Roderick Random;*

I am tempted to discover that, the whole was begun and finished in the compass of eight months, during which time, several intervals happened of one, two, three, and four weeks, wherein I did not set pen to paper, so that a little Incorrectness may be excused.\(^{56}\)

Net of intervals, that is about 1,000 words, or 3 pages per day, above other writing and editing responsibilities. For the purpose of illustration, it is assumed he averaged novel writing at a rate of one per year, published pamphlets of prose or poetry at four per month, and contributed to periodicals at five per week. Thus, above supervisory editing, his annual workload might be: a novel of 300 pages; four pamphlets a month of 30 pages, to equal 1440 pages; five contributions a week of 1 page, equals 250 pages; total 1990 pages. Or put another way: 7 pages a day, for 300 days equates to 2100 pages a year, not an impossible workload, and is supported by his earlier mentioned private comment to John Harvie of 10 December, 1759:

If I go on writing as I have proceeded for some years, my hand will be paralytic, and my brain dried to a snuff. I would not wish my greatest enemy a greater curse than the occupation of an author, in which capacity I have toiled myself into habitual asthma, and been baited like a bear by all the hounds of Grub-street. Some people have flourished by imputed wit; I have suffered by imputed dulness. I have been abused, reviled, and calumniated, for satires I never saw; I have been censured for absurdities of which I could not possibly be guilty.\(^{57}\)

Applying that calculation to Smollett's 40 year career, supports a potential corpus of 2000 published works. Time will tell how reasonable that estimate is, but it does imply a large unidentified corpus. A

---

potential of 2000 published works in 1730-70, implies he averaged
publishing one work a week for forty years. The numbers are startling,
and imply two in every ten a/p works; i.e. 2000 out of the estimated
10,000 a/p works, were from his pen. Within that, indications are the
majority of a/p works bearing spurious imprints will be attributable to
Smollett. In the absence of other prolific known potential a/p authors,
that is very possible. The following link to details of ongoing research
notes for 1730-70 a/p works; as spurious or genuine imprints

  Smollett - Genuine and Spurious
  Imprints
  Smollett and W. Webb, Spurious
  Imprints
  Smollett and H. Carpenter,
  Spurious Imprints
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  Smollett and J Warcus, Spurious
  Imprints
  Smollett and Spurious Imprints
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  Smollett and Spurious Imprints
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Conclusion

Past literary criticism associated with Tobias Smollett has a
tendency to be limited to discussion of the works long attributed to him
by conventional wisdom. In contrast, the Smollett Project has identified
and made many new attributions. Smollett’s modus operandi has been
identified; an obsession for anonymity, and an insatiable need to write
and publish poetry and prose, fiction and non-fiction, but an
unsuccessful playwright. Many works have a salacious or scatological
tone. Smollett was rusticated from Glasgow for challenging church
dogma, but to avoid any association with Glasgow, he would interpose Oxford as a red-herring. He had a polemical bent, and a penchant to puff his works at every opportunity. His interest in politics was strong, as was his attention to the navy and to European affairs. Smollett was a champion for Scotland, and a royalist, while strongly anti-Jacobite, anti-Papist, and anti-French. Smollett made use of a wide range of spurious and genuine imprints; plus hundreds of contributions to daily, weekly, and monthly periodicals. The mix of characteristics conveys Smollett’s modus operandi, not as a single style, but with a distinctive pattern of overlapping themes, style, and language, and which gives confidence in making the many attributions. But, remaining for other scholars is the question; Which other prolific authors can be attributed with writing substantial numbers of the still outstanding, anonymous and pseudonymous, works published in 1730-70?
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